

---

## 6. Exercise on QFT for many-body systems

---

*Sommersemester 2022*

### **TUTORIUM: Friday, 24.06.2022.**

Consider the Hubbard-Hamiltonian given by

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^\dagger + U \sum_i \underbrace{\hat{c}_{i\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i\uparrow}}_{\hat{n}_{i\uparrow}} \underbrace{\hat{c}_{i\downarrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i\downarrow}}_{\hat{n}_{i\downarrow}} - \mu \sum_i \underbrace{(n_{i\uparrow} + n_{i\downarrow})}_{\hat{n}_i}. \quad (1)$$

The term containing  $\mu$  fixes the number of particles. Specifically, we consider the case of half-filling where we have  $\langle \hat{n}_i \rangle = 1$  particle per site. This corresponds to  $\mu = \frac{U}{2}$ .

### **12. The Green's function in limiting cases**

*1+1+0.5+0.5+2=5 points*

First, assume that the electrons governed by the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1) are non-interacting, i.e.,  $U=0$ .

- a) Compute the one-particle Green's function  $G_\sigma(\mathbf{k}, \tau)$  by directly calculating the trace in the definition

$$G_\sigma(\mathbf{k}, \tau) = -\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \text{Tr} \left[ e^{-\beta \hat{H}} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}(\tau) \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^\dagger \right], \quad \beta \geq \tau \geq 0 \quad (2)$$

of the Green's function. (The partition function is defined as  $\mathcal{Z} = \text{Tr}[e^{-\beta \hat{H}}]$ .)

*Hint: Use the Lehmann representation, i.e. perform the trace over the basis of the eigenvalues and insert the completeness relation, where needed.*

- b) Continue the result obtained in a) for  $G_\sigma(\mathbf{k}, \tau)$  to real times by the inverse Wick-rotation  $\tau \rightarrow it$ . Give a physical interpretation for the result.
- c) Calculate the Green's function  $G_\sigma(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n)$  in Matsubara frequency space by performing the Fourier-transform

$$G_\sigma(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) = \int_0^\beta d\tau e^{i\omega_n \tau} G_\sigma(\mathbf{k}, \tau), \quad (3)$$

where  $\omega_n = \frac{\pi}{\beta}(2n+1)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  is a fermionic Matsubara frequency. Then continue the results on the real frequency axis and calculate the corresponding spectral function  $A(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ .

Now, consider the *opposite* limit where the kinetic energy appearing in the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1) is negligible compared to the interaction, i.e.,  $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}=0$  (atomic limit).

- d) The (local) Green's function for site  $i$ ,  $G_{i\sigma}(\tau)$  is defined as

$$G_{i\sigma}(\tau) = -\langle T_\tau \hat{c}_{i\sigma}(\tau) \hat{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger \rangle = -\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \text{Tr} \left[ e^{-\beta \hat{H}} \hat{c}_{i\sigma}(\tau) \hat{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger \right], \quad \beta \geq \tau \geq 0. \quad (4)$$

Note that the different atoms are completely independent in this case and the local Green's function is thus the same as already calculated in Problem 6 of Exercise 3. From its Fourier transform  $G_{i\sigma}(i\omega_n)$ , extract the corresponding expression for the self-energy  $\Sigma_{i\sigma}(i\omega_n)$ . Is the atomic-limit expression derivable within conventional perturbation theory?


- e) Calcualte, analogously as in **d**), the local magnetic (spin)  $\chi_i^s(\tau) = \langle T_\tau S_i^z(\tau) S_i^z \rangle$  and density (charge)  $\chi_i^c(\tau) = \langle T_\tau n_i(\tau) n_i \rangle$  susceptibilities in the atomic limit of the Hubbard model (with  $S_i^z = n_{i\uparrow} - n_{i\downarrow}$  and  $n_i = n_{i\uparrow} + n_{i\downarrow}$ ), as well as their Fourier transform to Matsubara frequencies. Then, analytically continue the expressions to real frequencies. What can you say about the temperature dependence?

### 13. RPA for the Hubbard model

$1+2.5+1.5+2^*=5+2^*$  points

In the Hubbard model (Hamiltonian in Eq. (1)), the interaction is purely local and penalizes double occupations:  $U \sum_i n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow}$ . Therefore, the interaction only couples electrons with opposite

spin:  $\uparrow \text{---} U \text{---} \downarrow$



Then also susceptibilities can acquire a spin-dependence:  $\chi_{\sigma\sigma'}$ :

Remembering that momentum, energy and spin need to be conserved at each vertex:

- Draw the (bubble) diagram of the free susceptibility  $\chi_0^{\sigma\sigma'}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$  and say which spin-combinations are possible.
- Draw the random phase approximation (RPA) series for  $\chi_{RPA}^{\uparrow\uparrow}$  and  $\chi_{RPA}^{\uparrow\downarrow}$ . What can you say about the allowed powers of  $U$  in both series? Translate the diagrams into formulas and rewrite them using the geometric series. In all of this you can omit the labels for momentum and frequency.
- The charge and spin susceptibilities (the local versions of which were already introduced in Problem 12 e) ) are given by:

$$\chi^c = \chi^{\uparrow\uparrow} + \chi^{\uparrow\downarrow}, \quad \chi^s = \chi^{\uparrow\uparrow} - \chi^{\uparrow\downarrow}.$$

Using the result from (b) give expressions for these susceptibilities in the RPA. Which of the two  $\chi$ s was discussed in the lecture in the context of screening?

- (Bonus points) Using the results of Problem 11 of Exercise 5, consider the electronic system for  $d=2$  in presence of the Hubbard interaction  $U > 0$ , and calculate within the RPA the two (ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic) spin susceptibilities. On the basis of your RPA calculations, make your final considerations about the tendency of the system towards a given magnetic order at  $T = 0$ .