

Mathematical Finance 2: Continuous-Time Models

Exercise sheet 8

May 16 , 2013

1. Consider the Black and Scholes model for the stock price process S and assume that the interest rate r is constant. Let g be a nonnegative continuous reward function such that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}^*} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} e^{-rt} g(S_t, t) \right] < \infty.$$

Prove that

$$\sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{[0, T]}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}^*} [e^{-r\tau} g(S_\tau, \tau)] = \inf_{M \in \mathcal{M}_0} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}^*} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} (e^{-rt} g(S_t, t) - M_t) \right],$$

where $\mathcal{T}_{[0, T]}$ denotes the set of all stopping times (with respect to the filtration (\mathcal{F}_t) generated by S) which satisfy $0 \leq \tau \leq T$ a.s. and \mathcal{M}_0 is the set of all right continuous martingales $M = (M_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ with $M_0 = 0$.

2. Let W^* be a Brownian motion defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}^*)$ and consider the process $X_t = \mu t + W_t^*$ for $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $m > 0$ and define the stopping time

$$\tau_m = \inf\{t \geq 0 \mid X_t = m\},$$

which takes the value ∞ if the level m is never reached. Prove that the Laplace transform of τ_m is given by

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}^*} [e^{-\lambda \tau_m}] = e^{-m(-\mu + \sqrt{\mu^2 + 2\lambda})}, \quad \forall \lambda > 0.$$

3. Consider the Black and Scholes model for the stock price process S (with infinite time horizon) and assume that the interest rate $r > 0$ is constant. Consider a perpetual American put, that is, a derivative (with infinite maturity) which pays $K - S_t$ if exercised at time t . Suppose that the owner of the American put sets a level $L < K$ and exercises the put the first time the stock price falls to L . If

Please turn over!

the initial price x satisfies $x \leq L$, the owner exercises the option immediately, otherwise at the stopping time

$$\tau_L = \inf\{t \geq 0 \mid S_t = L\},$$

where $\tau_L = \infty$ if the stock prices never reaches L .

- a) Show that the value at time 0 (as a function of the initial stock price x) of the perpetual American put under this exercise strategy is given by

$$v_L(x) = \begin{cases} K - x & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq L, \\ (K - L)\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}^*}[e^{-r\tau_L}] = (K - L)\left(\frac{x}{L}\right)^{-\frac{2r}{\sigma^2}} & \text{if } x \geq L. \end{cases}$$

- b) Define

$$L_* = \frac{2r}{2r + \sigma^2}K.$$

Show that L_* maximizes the function $L \mapsto v_L(x)$ for every fixed x .

- c) Show that v_{L_*} satisfies the so-called *linear complementarity conditions*, that is

- i) $v_{L_*}(x) \geq (K - x)^+$ for all $x \geq 0$,
- ii) $rv_{L_*}(x) - rxv'_{L_*}(x) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2x^2v''_{L_*}(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \geq 0$,
- iii) for every $x \geq 0$, equality holds either in i) or in ii).

4. Consider the setting of exercise 3.

- a) Prove that

- $e^{-rt}v_{L_*}(S_t)$ is a \mathbb{P}^* -supermartingale and
- the stopped process $e^{-r(t \wedge \tau_{L_*})}v_{L_*}(S_{t \wedge \tau_{L_*}})$ is a \mathbb{P}^* -martingale.

- b) Conclude that the price of the American perpetual put defined via

$$\sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}^*} [e^{-r\tau}(K - S_\tau)]$$

is given by $v_{L_*}(x)$, where $x = S_0$ and \mathcal{T} denotes the set of all stopping times.

- c) Describe the hedging strategy of the seller of the American perpetual put, who has initial capital $V_0 = v_{L_*}(x)$.

5. Consider the setting of Exercise 3 and an American put with strike K and finite maturity T . Define

$$b^*(T - t) = \sup\{x \geq 0 \mid P^a(x, T - t) = (K - x)^+\},$$

Please turn over!

where $P^a(x, T - t)$ denotes the price at time t of the American put if the time- t stock price is x , that is,

$$P^a(x, T - t) = \text{ess sup}_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{[t, T]}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}^*} [e^{-r(\tau-t)}(K - S_\tau)^+ | S_t = x].$$

- a)** Show that $L_* \leq b^*(t) \leq K$ for all $t \in [0, T]$.
- b)** Deduce the following bounds for the early exercise premium, that is, the difference between the price of the American and the European put, where the latter is denoted by $P^e(x, t)$ (here t is time to maturity)

$$\begin{aligned} rK \int_0^t e^{-ru} N\left(\frac{\ln\left(\frac{L_*}{x}\right) - \left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)u}{\sigma\sqrt{u}}\right) du &\leq P^a(x, t) - P^e(x, t) \\ &\leq rK \int_0^t e^{-ru} N\left(\frac{\ln\left(\frac{K}{x}\right) - \left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)u}{\sigma\sqrt{u}}\right) du. \end{aligned}$$

Hint: You can use the results of Musiela and Rutkowski, Section 5.3.