

## 4. Tutorium - Lösungen

4.11.2016

- ANMERKUNG: Es liegt in der Verantwortung des Einzelnen, sich die Beispiele zunächst alleine und ganz ohne Hilfsmittel anzuschauen. Google, Wolfram Alpha, Lösungssammlungen, etc. helfen nur kurzfristig - leider nicht beim Test!

## 4.1 Duale Basis

a)  $V = (\mathbf{e}_1 \times \mathbf{e}_2) \cdot \mathbf{e}_3 = 3 - 2 = 1$

$$(\mathbf{e}^1)^T = \frac{1}{V} \mathbf{e}_2 \times \mathbf{e}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\mathbf{e}^2)^T = \frac{1}{V} \mathbf{e}_3 \times \mathbf{e}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\mathbf{e}^3)^T = \frac{1}{V} \mathbf{e}_1 \times \mathbf{e}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Anmerkung: In diesem Beispiel ist  $V$  tatsächlich die Fläche des von  $\mathbf{e}_1$  und  $\mathbf{e}_2$  aufgespannten Parallelogramms

und gilt  $V = \det \mathbf{A}$  wobei die  $2 \times 2$  Matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  durch  $(\mathbf{e}_1 \ \mathbf{e}_2 \ \mathbf{e}_3) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  definiert ist.

b)  $V = \mathbf{e}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{e}_2 \times \mathbf{e}_3) = (1 \ 2 \ 3) \begin{pmatrix} -9 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} = 4$

$$(\mathbf{e}^1)^T = \frac{1}{V} \mathbf{e}_2 \times \mathbf{e}_3 = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} -9 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\mathbf{e}^2)^T = \frac{1}{V} \mathbf{e}_3 \times \mathbf{e}_1 = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 7 \\ -2 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\mathbf{e}^3)^T = \frac{1}{V} \mathbf{e}_1 \times \mathbf{e}_2 = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Anmerkung:  $V = \det(\mathbf{e}_1 \ \mathbf{e}_2 \ \mathbf{e}_3)$ .

## 4.2 Kommutator

a)  $[\sigma_x, \sigma_y] = \sigma_x \sigma_y - \sigma_y \sigma_x = 2i \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} - 2i \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 2i \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix} (\equiv 2i\sigma_z)$

Anmerkung:  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_x$ ,  $\sigma_2 = \sigma_y$ ,  $\sigma_3 = \sigma_z$  sind die Spinmatrizen für Spin-1-Systeme und erfüllt die Kommutatorrelationen  $[\sigma_i, \sigma_j] = 2i\epsilon_{ijk}\sigma_k$ .

b)  $[\sigma_x, [\sigma_x, \sigma_y]] = [\sigma_x, 2i\sigma_z] = 2i\sigma_x \sigma_z - 2i\sigma_z \sigma_x = 4\sqrt{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - 4\sqrt{2}i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$   
 $= 4\sqrt{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & 0 & -i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 4\sigma_y \quad \left[ \text{wobei } \sigma_z = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \right]$

c)

$$\mathbf{E}_a = \frac{\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a}^T}{\mathbf{a}^T \cdot \mathbf{a}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} (1 \ 0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{E}_b = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} (1 \ -1) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$[\mathbf{E}_a, \mathbf{E}_b] = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

d)  $\mathbf{D}_A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix}$  und  $\mathbf{D}_B = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \Lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Lambda_3 \end{pmatrix}$

$$[\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}] = \mathbf{AB} - \mathbf{BA} = \mathbf{UD}_A \underbrace{\mathbf{U}^\dagger}_{=1} \mathbf{UD}_B \mathbf{U}^\dagger - \mathbf{UD}_B \underbrace{\mathbf{U}^\dagger}_{=1} \mathbf{UD}_A \mathbf{U}^\dagger = \mathbf{U} [\mathbf{D}_A, \mathbf{D}_B] \mathbf{U}^\dagger$$

$$\mathbf{D}_A \mathbf{D}_B = \mathbf{D}_B \mathbf{D}_A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \Lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \Lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 \Lambda_3 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow [\mathbf{D}_A, \mathbf{D}_B] = 0 \rightarrow [\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}] = 0$$

### 4.3 Spatprodukt

a)  $\det \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \varepsilon_{ijk} a_i b_j c_k$

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot (\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{c}) \rightarrow a_i(\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{c})_i = a_i \varepsilon_{ijk} b_j c_k \rightarrow \det \mathbf{X}$$

$$\mathbf{b} \cdot (\mathbf{c} \times \mathbf{a}) \rightarrow b_i(\mathbf{c} \times \mathbf{a})_i = b_i \varepsilon_{ijk} c_j a_k = \varepsilon_{ijk} a_k b_i c_j = \varepsilon_{kij} a_k b_i c_j = \varepsilon_{ijk} a_i b_j c_k \rightarrow \det \mathbf{X}$$

$$\mathbf{c} \cdot (\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}) \rightarrow c_i(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b})_i = c_i \varepsilon_{ijk} a_j b_k = \varepsilon_{ijk} a_j b_k c_i = \varepsilon_{jki} a_j b_k c_i = \varepsilon_{ijk} a_i b_j c_k \rightarrow \det \mathbf{X}$$

b)

i) Wenn  $i = j$ :  $\varepsilon_{ijk} \varepsilon_{klm} = 0$ .  $\delta_{il} \delta_{jm} - \delta_{im} \delta_{jl} = \delta_{il} \delta_{im} - \delta_{im} \delta_{il}$  (ohne Summe über  $i$ )

Wenn  $l = m = i$ ,  $\delta_{il} \delta_{im} - \delta_{im} \delta_{il} = 1 - 1 = 0$  und sonst,  $\delta_{il} \delta_{im} - \delta_{im} \delta_{il} = 0 - 0 = 0$

ii) Wenn  $i \neq j$ : In der Summe über  $k$  trägt  $\varepsilon_{ijk} \varepsilon_{klm}$  nur 1 Term ( $k \neq i$  und  $k \neq j$ ) bei.

ii-a) Wenn  $l = i$  und  $m = j$  (z.B.  $i = l = 1, j = m = 2, k = 3$ ):  $\varepsilon_{ijk} \varepsilon_{klm} = \varepsilon_{ijk} \varepsilon_{kij} = \varepsilon_{ijk} \varepsilon_{ijk} = 1$

$\delta_{il} \delta_{jm} - \delta_{im} \delta_{jl} = \delta_{ii} \delta_{jj} - \delta_{ij} \delta_{ji} = 1$  (ohne Einsteinsche Summenkonvention)

ii-b) Wenn  $l = j$  und  $m = i$  (z.B.  $i = m = 1, j = l = 2, k = 3$ ):  $\varepsilon_{ijk} \varepsilon_{klm} = \varepsilon_{ijk} \varepsilon_{kji} = -\varepsilon_{ijk} \varepsilon_{ijk} = -1$

$\delta_{il} \delta_{jm} - \delta_{im} \delta_{jl} = \delta_{ij} \delta_{ji} - \delta_{ii} \delta_{jj} = -1$  (ohne Einsteinsche Summenkonvention)

ii-c) Sonst ( $l = m$  und/oder  $l = k$  und/oder  $m = k$ ):  $\varepsilon_{ijk} \varepsilon_{klm} = 0$  und  $\delta_{il} \delta_{jm} - \delta_{im} \delta_{jl} = 0$

Alternative Lösung:  $\varepsilon_{ijk} = \varepsilon_{abk} \delta_{ia} \delta_{jb}$  und  $\varepsilon_{klm} = \varepsilon_{lmk} = \varepsilon_{cdk} \delta_{lc} \delta_{md}$

$\varepsilon_{ijk} \varepsilon_{klm} = \varepsilon_{abk} \delta_{ia} \delta_{jb} \varepsilon_{cdk} \delta_{lc} \delta_{md} = \varepsilon_{abk} \varepsilon_{cdk} \delta_{ia} \delta_{jb} \delta_{lc} \delta_{md}$

Wenn  $c = a$  und  $d = b$ ,  $\varepsilon_{abk} \varepsilon_{cdk} = \underbrace{\varepsilon_{abk} \varepsilon_{abk}}_{\text{Summe nur über } k} = 1$  und wenn  $c = b$  und  $d = a$ ,  $\varepsilon_{abk} \varepsilon_{cdk} = \underbrace{\varepsilon_{abk} \varepsilon_{bak}}_{\text{Summe nur über } k} = -1$ . Sonst

$\varepsilon_{abk} \varepsilon_{cdk} = 0$ .

$\rightarrow \varepsilon_{ijk} \varepsilon_{klm} = \delta_{ia} \delta_{jb} \delta_{la} \delta_{mb} - \delta_{ia} \delta_{jb} \delta_{lb} \delta_{ma} = \delta_{il} \delta_{jm} - \delta_{im} \delta_{jl}$

c)  $(\mathbf{a} \times (\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{c}))_i = \varepsilon_{ijk} a_j (\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{c})_k = \varepsilon_{ijk} a_j \varepsilon_{klm} b_l c_m = (\delta_{il} \delta_{jm} - \delta_{im} \delta_{jl}) a_j b_l c_m = \delta_{il} a_j b_l c_j - \delta_{im} a_j b_j c_m = b_i a_j c_j - c_i a_j b_j = b_i (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{c}) - c_i (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b})$

### 4.4 Spektraltheorem

a)  $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_i = \lambda_i \mathbf{x}_i \rightarrow$  (transponiert und konjugiert)  $\mathbf{x}_i^\dagger \mathbf{A}^\dagger = \mathbf{x}_i^\dagger \mathbf{A} = \bar{\lambda}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\dagger$  (selbstadjungierter Operator:  $\mathbf{A}^\dagger = \mathbf{A}$ )

$$\underbrace{\mathbf{x}_i^\dagger \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_j}_{=\lambda_j \mathbf{x}_j} = \lambda_j \mathbf{x}_i^\dagger \mathbf{x}_j \quad \text{oder} \quad \underbrace{\mathbf{x}_i^\dagger \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_j}_{=\bar{\lambda}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\dagger} = \bar{\lambda}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\dagger \mathbf{x}_j \rightarrow \lambda_j \mathbf{x}_i^\dagger \mathbf{x}_j = \bar{\lambda}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\dagger \mathbf{x}_j$$

Wenn  $i = j$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_i^\dagger \mathbf{x}_i = 1 \rightarrow \lambda_i = \bar{\lambda}_i$ , d.h.  $\lambda_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) sind reell.

Wenn  $i \neq j$ ,  $(\lambda_j - \lambda_i) \mathbf{x}_i^\dagger \mathbf{x}_j = 0$ . Weil  $\lambda_j \neq \lambda_i$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_i^\dagger \mathbf{x}_j = 0$  (Orthogonalität der Eigenbasis).

b) Wenn  $\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 & \mathbf{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1^\dagger \\ \mathbf{x}_2^\dagger \end{pmatrix}$ ,

gilt  $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 & \mathbf{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 & \mathbf{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \lambda_1 \mathbf{x}_1$

In ähnlicher Weise  $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_2 = \lambda_2 \mathbf{x}_2$

c)  $\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 & \mathbf{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1^\dagger \\ \mathbf{x}_2^\dagger \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 & \mathbf{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1^\dagger \\ \mathbf{x}_2^\dagger \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 & \mathbf{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1^\dagger \\ \mathbf{x}_2^\dagger \end{pmatrix}$

$$= \lambda_1 \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 & \mathbf{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1^\dagger \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \lambda_2 \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 & \mathbf{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbf{x}_2^\dagger \end{pmatrix} = \lambda_1 \mathbf{x}_1 \otimes \mathbf{x}_1^\dagger + \lambda_2 \mathbf{x}_2 \otimes \mathbf{x}_2^\dagger = \sum_{i=1}^2 \lambda_i \mathbf{E}_i$$

d)  $\mathbf{A}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 \lambda_i \mathbf{E}_i \lambda_j \mathbf{E}_j = \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 \lambda_i \mathbf{E}_i \lambda_j \mathbf{E}_j = \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 \lambda_i \lambda_j \mathbf{x}_i \otimes \underbrace{\mathbf{x}_i^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{x}_j \otimes \mathbf{x}_j^\dagger}_{=\delta_{ij}}$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 \lambda_i \lambda_j \delta_{ij} \mathbf{x}_i \otimes \mathbf{x}_j^\dagger = \sum_{i=1}^2 \lambda_i^2 \mathbf{E}_i$$

oder  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{D} \underbrace{\mathbf{U}^\dagger \mathbf{U} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{U}^\dagger}_{=1} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{D}^2 \mathbf{U}^\dagger \rightarrow \mathbf{A} = \sum_{i=1}^2 \lambda_i^2 \mathbf{E}_i$

e)  $\mathbf{A}^n = \left( \sum_{i=1}^2 \lambda_i \mathbf{E}_i \right)^n = \left( \sum_{i_1=1}^2 \lambda_{i_1} \mathbf{E}_{i_1} \right) \left( \sum_{i_2=1}^2 \lambda_{i_2} \mathbf{E}_{i_2} \right) \cdots \left( \sum_{i_n=1}^2 \lambda_{i_n} \mathbf{E}_{i_n} \right) = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} \lambda_{i_1} \lambda_{i_2} \cdots \lambda_{i_n} \mathbf{E}_{i_1} \mathbf{E}_{i_2} \cdots \mathbf{E}_{i_n}$

Da  $\mathbf{E}_1 \mathbf{E}_2 = \mathbf{E}_2 \mathbf{E}_1 = 0$ , tragen in der Summe die Terme mit  $i_1 = i_2 = \cdots = i_n = 1$  oder 2 bei, d.h.

$$\mathbf{A}^n = \sum_{i=1}^2 \lambda_i^n \mathbf{E}_i = \sum_{i=1}^2 \lambda_i^n \mathbf{E}_i$$

(alternative Methode:  $\mathbf{A}^n = (\mathbf{U} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{U}^\dagger)^n = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{D}^n \mathbf{U}^\dagger = \sum_{i=1}^2 \lambda_i^n \mathbf{E}_i$ )

$$\exp(\mathbf{A}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \mathbf{A}^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{i=1}^2 \lambda_i^n \mathbf{E}_i = \sum_{i=1}^2 \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \lambda_i^n \right) \mathbf{E}_i = \sum_{i=1}^2 e^{\lambda_i} \mathbf{E}_i$$

$$(\mathbf{A}^0 = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{D}^0 \mathbf{U}^\dagger = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U}^\dagger = \mathbf{1})$$