

---

## 5. Exercise on QFT for many-body systems

---

12/06/2015

Consider the Hubbard-Hamiltonian given by

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} + U \sum_i \underbrace{\hat{c}_{i\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i\uparrow}}_{\hat{n}_{i\uparrow}} \underbrace{\hat{c}_{i\downarrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i\downarrow}}_{\hat{n}_{i\downarrow}} - \mu \sum_i \underbrace{(n_{i\uparrow} + n_{i\downarrow})}_{\hat{n}_i}. \quad (1)$$

The term containing  $\mu$  fixes the number of particles. Specifically, we consider the case of half-filling (with particle-hole symmetry), where we have  $\langle \hat{n}_i \rangle = 1$  particle per site. This corresponds to  $\mu = \frac{U}{2}$ .

### 12. The Green function in limiting cases *1.5+1+0.5+1+1+1+2^\*=6+2^\* points*

First, assume that the electrons governed by the Hamiltonian in Eq. 1 are non-interacting, i.e.,  $U = 0$ .

- a) Compute the one-particle Green function  $G_\sigma(\tau, \mathbf{k})$  by directly calculating the trace in the definition

$$G_\sigma(\tau, \mathbf{k}) = -\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \text{Tr} \left[ e^{-\beta \hat{\mathcal{H}}} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}(\tau) \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^\dagger \right], \quad \beta \geq \tau \geq 0 \quad (2)$$

of the Green function. (The partition function is defined as  $\mathcal{Z} = \text{Tr}[e^{-\beta \hat{\mathcal{H}}}]$ .)

*Hint: Use the Lehmann representation, i.e. perform the trace over the basis of the eigenvalues and insert the completeness relation, where needed.*

- b) Continue the result obtained in a) for  $G_\sigma(\tau, \mathbf{k})$  to real times by the inverse Wick-rotation  $\tau \rightarrow it$ . Give a physical interpretation for the result.
- c) Calculate the Green function  $G_\sigma(i\omega_n, \mathbf{k})$  in Matsubara frequency space by performing the Fourier-transform

$$G_\sigma(i\omega_n, \mathbf{k}) = \int_0^\beta d\tau e^{i\omega_n \tau} G_\sigma(\tau, \mathbf{k}), \quad (3)$$

where  $\omega_n = \frac{\pi}{\beta}(2n+1)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  is a fermionic Matsubara frequency. Then continue the results on the real frequency axis and calculate the corresponding spectral function  $A(k, \omega)$ .

Now, consider the *opposite* limit where the kinetic energy appearing in the Hamiltonian in eq. 1 is negligible compared to the interaction, i.e.,  $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = 0$ .

- d) Compute the (local) Green function for site  $i$ ,  $G_{i\sigma}(\tau)$ , defined as

$$G_{i\sigma}(\tau) = -\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \text{Tr} \left[ e^{-\beta \hat{\mathcal{H}}} \hat{c}_{i\sigma}(\tau) \hat{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger \right], \quad \beta \geq \tau \geq 0 \quad (4)$$

by directly evaluating the trace using the Lehmann representation.

*Hint: Consider that the different atoms are completely independent and, hence, one can evaluate the trace by using the occupation-basis for one site, which consists of four states. Which are these?*

- e) Calculate the Green function  $G_{i\sigma}(i\omega_n)$  in frequency-space by performing the Fourier transform for the result obtained in **d**).
- f) From the exact expression of  $G_{i\sigma}(i\omega_n)$  in the atomic limit, extract the corresponding expression for the self-energy  $\Sigma_{i\sigma}(i\omega_n)$ . Is the atomic-limit expression derivable within conventional perturbation theory?
- g) Perform the analytic continuation of  $G_{i\sigma}(i\omega_n)$  on the real axis, and calculate the corresponding spectral function  $A_{i\sigma}(\omega)$ . How can we interpret the spectral function result?

### 13. Charge susceptibility in RPA

2+2=4 points

As it will be discussed in the next Lecture (11/06/2015 on linear response theory), the reaction of an electronic system to a (weak) external perturbation potential  $V_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$  can be obtained via the polarization function  $\Pi(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ , which is the proportionality factor between the induced charge density  $n_{\text{ind}}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$  and the external potential. Assuming the perturbation is weak (so that the induced density is directly proportional to it), we have

$$n_{\text{ind}}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = \Pi(\mathbf{q}, \omega) V_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{q}, \omega),$$

where the polarization function can be calculated in imaginary time  $\tau > 0$  as

$$\Pi(\mathbf{q}, \tau) = -\langle T_{\tau} n_{\text{ind}}(\mathbf{q}, \tau) n_{\text{ind}}(-\mathbf{q}, 0) \rangle \quad (5)$$

where

$$n_{\text{ind}}(\mathbf{q}, \tau) = n(\mathbf{q}, \tau) - n_0 \delta(\mathbf{q}), \quad n(\mathbf{q}, \tau) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}(\tau) c_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}(\tau)$$

and  $n_0$  is the average filling of the non-interacting system.

- a) Evaluate the polarization for the non-interacting case  $U = 0$ , i.e.  $\Pi_0(\mathbf{q}, i\omega_n)$ , by first using Wick's theorem and second performing a Fourier transform to Matsubara frequencies.
- b) Let us consider now the case of an Hubbard model at finite  $U > 0$ . Use the random phase approximation (RPA) to calculate the polarization at  $U \neq 0$ , i.e.  $\Pi_{\text{RPA}}(\mathbf{q}, i\omega_n)$ . What is the physical meaning of the result? How would the physics change, if we considered an *attractive* Hubbard model ( $U < 0$ )? Why?

*Hint: For the final physical questions it is sufficient to consider the static limit of the polarization, i.e.  $\omega_n = 0$ ,  $\mathbf{q} \rightarrow 0$ .*

\* Bonus points

Viel Spaß!